

Tentamen 2017
Robust Multivariable Control
Duration 3 days (3×24 hrs)

Anders Helmersson
<http://www.control.isy.liu.se/~andersh>
email: andershelmersson@liu.se
phone: 013-157 888 (home)
013-482 8419 (RUAG Space)
0734 278 419 (mobile)

1

A system has a time delay of 0.1 seconds and a pole in $+1$ rad/s.

What is the lowest possible peaks of the sensitivity functions S and T in closed loop.

2

Let $\Delta = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 & \delta_2 \\ \delta_2 & \delta_1 \end{bmatrix}$. Determine the set of matrices, D , that commute with Δ : $D\Delta = \Delta D$.

Consider the problem of minimizing $\bar{\sigma}(DMD^{-1})$ with respect to all non-singular such D . Can you be sure that you always find the global minimum?

Can this structure be extended to higher dimensions?

3

Minimize the maximum singular value of

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

with respect to x .

Next, minimize

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ 3 & 4 & z \\ 2 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

with respect to x , y and z .

Are the optimal values of x , y and z unique (in both examples)?

4

The Riccati equation can be solved by finding the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian matrix,

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} A & R \\ -Q & -A^T \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } R = R^T, Q = Q^T.$$

Show that the eigenvalues are symmetric with respect to the real and imaginary axes.

In order to find a real solution X to the Riccati equation

$$XA + A^T X + Q + XRX = 0,$$

how should you combine the eigenvectors?

5

Consider the three first-order systems with inputs $\begin{bmatrix} w \\ u \end{bmatrix}$ and outputs $\begin{bmatrix} z \\ y \end{bmatrix}$:

(1)

$$G_1(s) = \left[\begin{array}{c|cc} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

(2)

$$G_2(s) = \left[\begin{array}{c|cc} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

(3)

$$G_2(s) = \left[\begin{array}{c|cc} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Consider the H_∞ controller problem, using y as input and u as output, for these three systems.

What is the smallest achievable gains and the corresponding controllers for these systems?

Can you find a zeroth order controller for each case?

Are the controllers acceptable in all cases?

6

Robin is making a toy robot vehicle consisting of a 0.6-meter vertical rod, on to which the lower end two small electrical motors are attached. Each motor drives a wheel with a diameter of 100 mm. Also, an encoder is attached measuring the rotation of the motor shaft. The motors are controlled by a microprocessor, which uses the encoder signals and gyro data from a sensor mounted on the rod.

Use the following model for the pitch dynamics: θ is the angle of the rod relative to the vertical, ϕ is the mean rotation angle of the motor shafts relative to the robot measured by the encoder.

$$x = (\theta + \phi)r \text{ (location of the center of the wheel), } r = 0.05 \text{ m.}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} I + m\ell^2 & m\ell \cos \theta \\ m\ell \cos \theta & m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{\theta} \\ \ddot{x} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g m \ell \sin \theta \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + m\ell \dot{\theta} \sin \theta \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1/r \end{bmatrix} u$$

where $I = 0.03 \text{ kgm}^2$, $m = 0.5 \text{ kg}$, $\ell = 0.2 \text{ m}$ and $g = 9.81 \text{ m/s}^2$. The control signal, u , is the total motor torque produced by the two motors. The same command is given to both motors.

We have neglected the slipping of the wheels relative to the ground surface.

A linearized model around $\theta = 0$ and $\dot{\theta} = 0$ becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.05 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{\theta} \\ \ddot{x} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.981 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta \\ x \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -20 \end{bmatrix} u$$

or

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{x} \\ \theta \\ x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 32.7 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -6.54 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{x} \\ \theta \\ x \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 500 \\ -220 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} u$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\phi} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 20 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{x} \\ \theta \\ x \end{bmatrix}$$

The measurements ϕ from the encoder and $\dot{\theta}$ from the gyro are available for the controller to produce the torque commands, u , to the motors.

Consider the problem of designing a controller with the following requirements:

- The controller should stabilize the vehicle;
- The controller shall be able to handle a delay of 0.02 s in the loop;
- The gain and phase margins should be adequate, aim at 5 dB and 30 deg at the input of the motor;
- The controller shall be able to accept a reference input in x ;
- The attitude angle, θ , shall be zero in steady state;
- Try to make the step response in x as fast as possible and with reasonable overshoot.